

ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT, PIMA COUNTY

HON. GREG SAKALL

CASE NO. C20262520

COURT REPORTER: Digitally Recorded
Courtroom - 808

DATE: April 01, 2026

JOHN R BRAKEY
Plaintiff

In Proper Person
appearing by video

V.

REX SCOTT, *et al.*,
Defendants

Daniel S Jurkowitz, Esq.
Limited Scope Counsel for Defendants

MINUTE ENTRY

STATUS CONFERENCE

Plaintiff is present, appearing by video. Defendants are not present.

The Court acknowledges that Mr. Jurkowitz has limited representation of the Defendants for the sole purposes of contesting jurisdiction.

The Court confers with the Plaintiff regarding a motion it received but which has not yet been filed and informs the Plaintiff it cannot consider any motion unless it has been filed with the Clerk of Court.

Mr. Jurkowitz makes statements to the Court and argues that the Court does not have personal jurisdiction over any of the Defendants based on lack of service, and that the Defendants are, therefore, contesting jurisdiction based on lack of service.

The Plaintiff makes statements to the Court that he personally served three (3) of six (6) of the Defendants.

The Court confers with the Plaintiff regarding same and informs the Court that pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiff does not have authority to effectuate personal service of the complaint and does not allow a party to execute service.

The Court informs the parties that it was advised by the Civil Presiding Judge of Pima County that the matter must be resolved by April 9, 2026, and confers with the parties regarding same.

THE COURT FINDS that the parties have stipulated that this case is not subject to the shortened deadlines concerning certain election challenges and that the normal Civil rules shall apply

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that as there is no proof of service yet accomplished in the file, the

L. Wiktorek
Deputy Clerk

MINUTE ENTRY

Page 2

Date: April 01, 2026

Case No.: C20262520

Court will treat this as a normal Civil action and will allow the appropriate filings to be made and rule on motions when made.

The Court makes a record that it is not issuing the Plaintiff's Order Setting Expedited Hearing Directing Preservation of Electronic Records or Requiring a Response, in light of discussions had with Plaintiff this date.

Mr. Jurkowicz argues that there is no legal basis for this action and requests the Plaintiff voluntarily dismiss this matter.

The Court encourages the Plaintiff to confer with legal counsel regarding said issue and/or to confer with Mr. Jurkowitz regarding any questions concerning his position on the merits of the matter.

No further hearings are set at this time.

cc: Hon. Greg Sakall
Daniel S Jurkowitz, Esq.
John R Brakey
Clerk of Court - Civil Unit
Court Community Relations
Court Services - Probate

L. Wiktorek
Deputy Clerk