

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:  
Arizona Cannabis Nurses Association,  
Appellant.

No. 2014A-MMR-0254-DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION

**HEARING:** March 26, May 13, 14 and 15, 2014

**APPEARANCES:** Kenneth A. Sobel, Esq. for Appellant; Gregory W. Falls, Esq.  
and Matthew A. Hesketh, Esq. for the Department of Health Services

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Thomas Shedden

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. On January 29, 2014, the Arizona Department of Health Services ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing setting the above-captioned matter for hearing at 1:00 p.m. March 26, 2014, at the Office of Administrative Hearings in Phoenix, Arizona.

2. The Notice of Hearing provides that the hearing was set to consider the appeal of the Department's January 14, 2014 denial of the petition to add Post Traumatic Stress Disorder ("PTSD") to the list of debilitating medical conditions set forth in ARIZ. REV. STAT. section 36-2801(3).<sup>1</sup>

3. Appellant Arizona Cannabis Nurses Association presented the testimony of its president Heather Manus, R.N., Richard Strand, M.D., Edith Lynn Edde, D.O., Gina Mecagni, M.D., Ricardo Pereyda, and Lezli Engelking.

<sup>1</sup> Ariz. Rev. Stat. section 36-2801.01 shows that the "denial of a petition is a final decision of the [D]epartment subject to judicial review pursuant to [ARIZ. REV. STAT.] title 12, chapter 7, article 6. Jurisdiction and venue are vested in the superior court." Consequently, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge issued an Order directing the parties to file memoranda addressing the Office of Administrative Hearings' ("OAH") jurisdiction to hear this appeal.

The Department filed a memorandum asserting that the OAH has jurisdiction to hear the appeal. Appellant did not file a memorandum. In light of the Department's position, the matter was convened for hearing as scheduled.

1           4.     The Department presented the testimony of its Deputy Director Cara  
2 Christ, M.D. and Doug Campos-Outcalt, M.D.

3           5.     On July 25, 2013, the Department received Appellant's Petition to add  
4 PTSD to the list of debilitating conditions for which medical marijuana may be  
5 dispensed.

6           6.     The Department determined that the Petition contained the information  
7 required by ARIZ. ADMIN. CODE section 9-17-106(A).

8           7.     The Department is required to hold a public hearing on petitions for which  
9 the petitioner has provided evidence that: (1) the medical condition impairs a sufferer's  
10 ability to accomplish the activities of daily living; and (2) marijuana usage provides a  
11 palliative benefit to an individual suffering from the medical condition. For petitions that  
12 do not meet these requirements, the Department is required to provide the petitioner  
13 the specific reason for the Department's determination and to provide the petitioner with  
14 information on obtaining judicial review of the Department's decision. ARIZ. ADMIN. CODE  
15 § 9-17-106(B).

16           8.     The Department's Medical Advisory Committee ("Committee") evaluated  
17 the Petition and voted to set the Petition for a public hearing.

18           9.     The Department notified Appellant that the Petition would be set for a  
19 public hearing, which was conducted on October 29, 2013.<sup>2</sup>

20           10.    At the instant hearing, Dr. Christ testified that the Committee voted to hold  
21 a public hearing on the Petition even though the Department had determined that  
22 Appellant's Petition did not show that marijuana has a palliative effect on PTSD. At the  
23 hearing, the Department acknowledged that by setting the Petition for public hearing it  
24 had not properly followed the rules, but Appellant agreed to waive any objection.

25           11.    At the public hearing, the Department accepted public comments and it  
26 accepted additional scientific articles related to marijuana's effect on PTSD. The  
27 Department also accepted written public comments, including comments through an  
28 internet portal.

29  
30 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>2</sup> Petitions to add two other conditions were considered at the same public hearing.

1           12. The Department received about 700 public comments supporting the effort  
2 to add PTSD to the list of debilitating conditions, with only two comments opposing the  
3 addition. Most of the comments were from PTSD sufferers or their family members who  
4 have experienced or seen that marijuana alleviates the symptoms of PTSD.

5           13. After the public hearing, the Department had the University of Arizona's  
6 College of Public Health (the "U of A") conduct an evidence review of the medical  
7 literature regarding the benefits and harms of marijuana for treatment of PTSD. The U  
8 of A had conducted a similar evidence review in 2012 and its 2013 review was prepared  
9 as an update of the 2012 review.

10           14. In December 2013, the U of A produced a report entitled "Medical  
11 Marijuana for the Treatment of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder" summarizing its  
12 findings (the "2013 Report"). Dr. Campos-Outcalt was the principal investigator/reviewer  
13 and the author of both the 2012 Report and the 2013 Report.

14           15. Dr. Campos-Outcalt conducted his reviews by searching medical  
15 databases for articles reporting on studies of adults with PTSD. The search was  
16 restricted to English language studies only. A complete list of the search terms is  
17 provided in Exhibits C (2013 Report) and D (2012 Report).

18           16. Dr. Campos-Outcalt determined that only six studies met all the required  
19 search criteria, whereas eighty-eight did not.<sup>3</sup>

20           17. Dr. Campos-Outcalt assessed the quality of the six studies that met all the  
21 search criteria. Dr. Campos-Outcalt's assessment was based on both the type of study  
22 (e.g., randomized controlled trial; case series) and by reference to generally accepted  
23 principles for the evaluation of scientific studies. See Exhibit C, Appendices 2  
24 (Taxonomy of study designs) and 3 (GRADE Method to assess overall quality of  
25 evidence); and Exhibits L (Quality Rating Criteria for Case Control Studies) and M  
26 (Quality Rating Criteria for Cohort Studies).<sup>4</sup>

27           18. After receiving the 2013 Report, the Committee determined that because  
28 marijuana has not been subjected to any high quality, scientifically controlled testing in

29  
30 <sup>3</sup> Exhibit C at Tables 1 and 2 provide Dr. Campos-Outcalt's assessment of the six studies meeting the search criteria and a listing of the studies that did not meet those criteria.

<sup>4</sup> Similar Quality Rating Criteria exist for other types of studies.

1 humans, there was a lack of evidence to support adding PTSD to the list of debilitating  
2 conditions. Consequently, the Committee recommended that the Department's Director  
3 deny Appellant's Petition.

4 19. In its recommendation, the Committee also wrote that there was a growing  
5 body of evidence concerning the potential effects of cannabinoids on PTSD that raised  
6 valid clinical questions that need to be investigated. They went on to write that given  
7 this evidence and that several states have approved medical marijuana for PTSD, the  
8 Committee hoped that a randomized, controlled study might be conducted to further  
9 investigate this question.

10 20. Dr. Christ testified that the Committee did not intend its comments to be  
11 read as requiring that marijuana be tested in humans or that only randomized,  
12 controlled trials would meet the applicable rule. Dr. Christ further explained that the  
13 language regarding randomized, controlled studies was added to the Committee's  
14 recommendation in an effort to support research being proposed by Dr. Sue Sisley.

15 21. Eleven states have approved medical marijuana for the treatment of  
16 PTSD.

17 22. In a letter dated January 14, 2014, the Department's Director informed  
18 Appellant that its Petition had been denied because there was insufficient evidence to  
19 support adding PTSD to the list of debilitating medical conditions.

#### 20 Dr. Christ's Testimony

21 23. The Director's decision to deny Appellant's Petition was based on the  
22 Department's determination that Appellant had not demonstrated that marijuana  
23 provides a palliative benefit to people suffering from PTSD.

24 24. The Committee agreed that PTSD is a condition that impairs the sufferer's  
25 ability to accomplish the activities of daily living.

26 25. The Committee was unanimous in its decision to recommend that  
27 Appellant's Petition be denied.

28 26. Dr. Christ testified that the six articles that Dr. Campos-Outcalt determined  
29 met the applicable search criteria were not persuasive because the articles were not of  
30

1 sufficient quality or did not actually show that marijuana had a palliative benefit to PTSD  
2 sufferers.<sup>5</sup>

3 27. The Committee consists of eleven doctors (all M.D.s), with Dr. Christ  
4 serving as its chair. These doctors have diverse backgrounds covering many  
5 disciplines.

6 28. Many of the Department's decisions are based on scientific or medical  
7 evidence using the same method that was applied to Appellant's Petition. The  
8 Committee holds regular meetings and it provides advice to the Director on issues in  
9 addition to Petitions for the listing of debilitating conditions.-

10 29. Dr. Christ testified that the Department errs on the side of holding a public  
11 hearing rather than rejecting petitions that may not meet the applicable rules because  
12 holding a public hearing allows more evidence to be considered.

13 30. The Department wants to be careful before adding any new debilitating  
14 conditions to the list because there is no method to take a condition off that list.

15 31. Dr. Christ was of the opinion that the Committee has a good balance of  
16 doctors, whereby some are pro-medical marijuana, some against it, and some who  
17 want to see the evidence.

#### 18 Dr. Campos-Outcalt's Testimony

19 32. Dr. Campos-Outcalt testified as to the methods he used to locate and  
20 assess studies related to marijuana and PTSD and as to the strengths and weaknesses  
21 of various types of studies. Dr. Campos-Outcalt also provided his opinion as to the  
22 quality of each of the six studies that met all the search criteria.

23 33. Dr. Campos-Outcalt's role was limited to locating and assessing these  
24 studies and he did not participate in the Department's decision to deny Appellant's  
25 Petition.<sup>6</sup>

26 34. Dr. Campos-Outcalt did testify however, that the standards used in  
27 evidence based research were not necessarily those used to develop clinical guidelines  
28 for standard-of-care determinations. According to Dr. Campos-Outcalt, the preference

29 <sup>5</sup> Dr. Christ's opinion was that synthetic cannabinoids do not meet the definition of marijuana.

30 <sup>6</sup> Dr. Campos-Outcalt was present at the Committee meeting during which the Petition was considered,  
but solely to answer any questions the members may have had regarding his work.

1 is to have complete evidence, but there are times when standard-of-care  
2 determinations are made on incomplete evidence with those determinations subject to  
3 change as more evidence becomes available.

4 Ms. Manus's Testimony

5 35. About thirteen years ago, Ms. Manus was attacked and almost killed,  
6 resulting in her suffering from PTSD. For five years, Ms. Manus took a "cocktail" of  
7 prescription pharmaceuticals that had side effects including a loss of sex drive and  
8 leaving her in a "zombie-like" state. These side effects ruined her marriage and left her  
9 unable to properly care for her children. Ms. Manus cannot recall years of her children's  
10 lives from the time of her "zombie-like blackouts."

11 36. Ms. Manus made multiple suicide attempts, which would cause her  
12 doctor to increase her prescription-drug dosages.

13 37. Ms. Manus went online where she learned that one of these prescription  
14 drugs (Zoloft) carried a warning showing that it increased the risk of suicide. She then  
15 decided to get off the prescription drugs, which she did.

16 38. Ms. Manus uses medical marijuana for chronic pain and that usage  
17 effectively eliminates much of her anxiety and "releases [the] stresses" her attack left  
18 her with, including a fear of men and anxiety in social settings. The medical marijuana  
19 allows her to function, whereas on the prescription medications, she could not get out of  
20 bed.

21 39. Ms. Manus provided credible testimony that medical marijuana changed  
22 her life for the better and that it has relieved her PTSD symptoms.

23 40. Ms. Manus wants others who suffer from PTSD, especially veterans, to be  
24 able to receive the same benefit that she has received.

25 41. After learning about the side effects of the prescription drugs she was  
26 taking, Ms. Manus went to nursing school and in 2009 became a registered nurse.

27 42. Ms. Manus worked as a home-health nurse, where she had patients who  
28 used marijuana to treat their PTSD. Ms. Manus's clinical experience shows that  
29 marijuana helps with the symptoms of PTSD.

30 43. Ms. Manus's opinion was that the best way to determine whether a drug  
has a palliative effect is to hear from the patients who are using the drug. Ms. Manus

1 often hears from PTSD sufferers who have found that marijuana provides them a  
2 palliative benefit.

3 44. Ms. Manus's opinion was that the comments received by the Department  
4 are important in showing that marijuana provides a palliative benefit those suffering  
5 from PTSD.

6 45. Ms. Manus's opinion was that researchers accept that marijuana provides  
7 a benefit to PTSD sufferers and are focusing their studies on determining why it works,  
8 with a particular focus on the effect of marijuana on biochemical pathways.

9 46. Ms. Manus is the medical director for a dispensary in New Mexico, which  
10 requires her to stay informed about medical-marijuana issues and current research.

11 47. Since 2009, medical marijuana has been approved in New Mexico for  
12 patients with PTSD, and in 2013, by a unanimous vote New Mexico's medical advisory  
13 board denied a petition to remove PTSD from the approved list.

14 48. Through her work in New Mexico, Ms. Manus has spoken to members of  
15 the state's medical advisory board and other nurses, and she knew of no adverse  
16 outcomes from the use of medical marijuana in New Mexico.

17 49. Ms. Manus acknowledged that the sativa strain of marijuana might cause  
18 anxiety in a PTSD sufferer, whereas the indica strain does not have that affect.

#### 19 Dr. Strand's Testimony

20 50. Dr. Strand completed medical school in 1969 and his residency in 1974.  
21 He was the Chairman of the United States Track & Field Substance Abuse, Education  
22 and Testing Committee from 1992 to 2000, and a member of the United States Olympic  
23 Team Medical Staff during the 2000 Olympics.

24 51. Dr. Strand's daughter is an anesthesiologist who recommends medical  
25 marijuana for patients with chronic pain and it works for that condition.

26 52. Dr. Strand, with some other doctors, took part in Arizona's lottery for a  
27 dispensary license, but they were not selected.

28 53. PTSD is common and any stressful event can cause it. Most people get  
29 over the stressful event, which Dr. Strand characterized as extinguishing the "toxic"  
30 memory. Those who do not extinguish these memories can suffer a physical or  
emotional response to an inappropriate stimulus at a later time.





1           61. Dr. Edde had reviewed the studies about which Dr. Campos-Outcalt  
2 testified and she has done additional research on PTSD.

3           62. Dr. Edde testified at the Department's public hearing, but was allotted only  
4 two minutes for her testimony.

5           63. Dr. Edde's opinion was that a palliative benefit may be seen by past  
6 experience, medical journals, and the patients' reports. For any medication, the doctor  
7 needs to listen to the patient and change what does not work, but keep doing what  
8 does work.

9           64. Dr. Edde's opinion was that the public comments received by the  
10 Department are evidence that marijuana provides a palliative benefit to those with  
11 PTSD and that these comments are of sufficient quality that a doctor would use them.

12           65. Dr. Edde's opinion was that marijuana provides a palliative benefit for  
13 PTSD and that it is safe and effective. But each person is unique, so it varies from  
14 patient to patient.

15           66. According to Dr. Edde, risk versus benefit is huge in medicine, which is  
16 especially true for an intensivist such as herself: either something works or it does not  
17 work. Neonatology is based on doing what works and there was not time to get studies  
18 done. A neonatologist cannot go to the lab first, because the baby will die while waiting  
19 for results – they see what works and the controlled studies come later.

20           67. Dr. Edde testified that generally the studies are showing that there is a  
21 benefit to the use of marijuana for PTSD and that the benefit outweighs the risks.

22           68. Dr. Edde was of the opinion that it is not known why marijuana is effective  
23 for PTSD sufferers. Most of the current research work is looking at bio-pathways and  
24 the trending information shows that this will explain why marijuana works.

25           69. Dr. Edde was of the opinion that the Committee should not have excluded  
26 studies conducted on animals because, although going from a mouse to man is a huge  
27 leap, essentially all medical research proceeds along this path and you will not get to  
28 man if you do not first look at the mouse.

29           70. Dr. Edde's opinion was that PTSD should be added to the list of  
30 debilitating conditions. The risk is rather low and marijuana is safe and effective when  
compared to the medications that are now being used to treat PTSD.

Dr. Mecagni's Testimony

1  
2 71. Dr. Mecagni has been an emergency room doctor for the last ten years  
3 and she is also the Medical Director for a marijuana dispensary.

4 72. There is an epidemic of PTSD among veterans. PTSD is a "horrible"  
5 mental illness and the risk extends to the community because PTSD can lead to  
6 violence.

7 73. There are only two FDA approved treatments for PTSD, sertraline (Zoloft)  
8 and Paxil, all other treatments, including benzodiazepines, are off-label uses. All of  
9 these drugs have bad side effects including a risk of suicide, and Zoloft does not  
10 appear to work, especially with combat-related PTSD.

11 74. Marijuana is a safe plant that does not affect the brain stem so the  
12 respiratory system is not affected. There are no reported marijuana overdoses leading  
13 to death and there is nothing showing that the risk of suicide goes up. Marijuana's side  
14 effects are benign, but the sativa strain is not a good choice for PTSD sufferers.

15 75. Dr. Mecagni has done research on the cellular biology of the  
16 endocannabinoid system (how it works) and on the experiential, observational studies  
17 of marijuana in the general population.

18 76. There are receptors in the hippocampus that control, or are related to, the  
19 fight-or-flight response. PTSD comes about from maladaptive responses to the flight-or-  
20 fight stimuli and with memory retrieval and dealing with stressful situations. The  
21 endocannabinoid system is connected to this part of the brain's neurochemistry and is  
22 active in memory retrieval and retention.

23 77. Dr. Mecagni agreed that whether marijuana works for those with PTSD is  
24 not in question and that how it works is the focus of research. Her opinion was that the  
25 current research shows that the endocannabinoid system is involved with the aberrant  
26 pathways that develop with PTSD in response to stress and that exogenous  
27 cannabinoid mitigates that effect.

28 78. Dr. Mecagni's opinion is that marijuana is safe and that, to a reasonable  
29 degree of scientific and medical certainty, observational studies show it is effective for  
30 the treatment of PTSD.

1 79. As a clinician Dr. Mecagni agrees that double blind studies are the best  
2 evidence, but there are none for marijuana. Moreover, off-label drug uses are not based  
3 on double blind studies, but rather are based on observations showing that the drug is  
4 effective for the off-label condition.

5 Mr. Pereyda's Testimony

6 80. Mr. Pereyda is a combat veteran of the United States Army, having served  
7 as a military policeman in Iraq.

8 81. Mr. Pereyda suffers from PTSD and other maladies including chronic pain.  
9 Mr. Pereyda holds a patient's qualifying card that was issued based on his chronic pain.

10 82. Mr. Pereyda had used medical marijuana every day in the four years prior  
11 to the hearing and found that using medical marijuana alleviated his PTSD and that it  
12 "helped tremendously."

13 83. Prior to his use of medical marijuana, Mr. Pereyda used prescription  
14 medications for his PTSD, including valium, Xanax, and Paxil. These prescription drugs  
15 did not alleviate his symptoms and they had side effects that he found to be  
16 unpleasant, including lethargy and reduced libido.

17 84. Mr. Pereyda had been "hooked" on these pills, but since he started using  
18 medical marijuana he no longer uses the prescription drugs.

19 85. Mr. Pereyda acknowledged that he still has some issues related to his  
20 PTSD and that he has suffered panic attacks since he started using medical marijuana,  
21 but these attacks are less frequent and less severe than those that he previously  
22 experienced.

23 86. Mr. Pereyda has not suffered any side effects from his medical marijuana  
24 use.

25 87. Mr. Pereyda knows other veterans with PTSD for whom medical marijuana  
26 has been a tremendous help.

27 Ms. Engelking's Testimony

28 88. Ms. Engelking is the executive director of the Bloom Dispensary.

29 89. Ms. Engelking was a pharmaceutical sales rep for about thirteen years,  
30 during which time she interacted with over 600 doctors' offices.

1 90. Ms. Engelking testified as to the dangers of prescription medications and  
2 the operations at Bloom Dispensary.

3 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

4 1. Appellant bears the burden of proof and the standard of proof on all issues  
5 in this matter is that of a preponderance of the evidence. ARIZ. ADMIN. CODE § 2-19-119.

6 2. A preponderance of the evidence is:

7 The greater weight of the evidence, not necessarily established by the  
8 greater number of witnesses testifying to a fact but by evidence that has  
9 the most convincing force; superior evidentiary weight that, though not  
10 sufficient to free the mind wholly from all reasonable doubt, is still  
11 sufficient to incline a fair and impartial mind to one side of the issue  
12 rather than the other.

13 BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 1301 (9<sup>th</sup> ed. 2009).

14 3. "A [rule] is to be given such an effect that no clause, sentence or word is  
15 rendered superfluous, void, contradictory or insignificant." *Guzman v. Guzman*, 175  
16 Ariz. 183, 187, 854 P.2d 1169,1173 (App. 1993); *Gutierrez v. Industrial Commission of*  
17 *Arizona*, 226 Ariz. 395, 249 P.3d 1095 (2011)(statutes and rules are construed using  
18 the same principles).

19 4. Among other things, a petitioner to add a new condition must provide the  
20 Department with:

21 6. A summary of the evidence that the use of marijuana will provide  
22 therapeutic or palliative benefit for the medical condition or a treatment  
23 of the medical condition; and

24 7. Articles, published in peer-reviewed scientific journals, reporting the  
25 results of research on the effects of marijuana on the medical condition  
26 or a treatment of the medical condition supporting why the medical  
27 condition should be added.

28 ARIZ. ADMIN. CODE § 9-17-106 (A).

29 5. The Department's determination that Appellant did not show that  
30 marijuana usage provides a palliative benefit to those who suffer from PTSD was based  
on its review of peer-reviewed articles. By limiting its evaluation to those articles, the  
Department has interpreted the applicable rules in a manner that leaves ARIZ. ADMIN.

1 CODE section 9-17-106(A)(6) with no significance. Consequently, the Department's

2 interpretation of the rule is not valid.

3 6. At the hearing, there was substantial evidence showing that PTSD

4 sufferers receive a palliative benefit from marijuana use. There was also substantial

5 evidence showing that medical professionals rely on patients' feedback when

6 determining the appropriate treatments and that the practice of off-label prescribing is

7 predicated on such feedback. In addition, Drs. Strand, Edde and Mecegni, and Ms.

8 Manus all provided credible testimony showing medical marijuana provides a palliative

9 benefit to PTSD sufferers.<sup>7</sup>

10 7. The preponderance of the evidence shows that marijuana use provides a

11 palliative benefit to those suffering from PTSD.

12 8. Consequently, Appellant's appeal should be granted and PTSD should be

13 added to the list of debilitating conditions for which marijuana may be dispensed.

14 **ORDER**

15 **IT IS ORDERED** that Appellant's appeal is granted and that PTSD is added to

16 the list of debilitating conditions for which marijuana may be dispensed.

17 *In the event of certification of the Administrative Law Judge Decision by the Director of  
18 the Office of Administrative Hearings, the effective date of the Order will be five days  
19 after the date of that certification.*

20 Done this day, June 4, 2014.

21 /s/ Thomas Shedden

22 Thomas Shedden

23 Administrative Law Judge

24 Transmitted electronically to:

25 William Humble, Director

26 Arizona Department of Health Services

27  
28  
29  
30 <sup>7</sup> Although Dr. Sisley did not testify, in a video clip admitted into evidence, she expressed her opinion that marijuana has a palliative benefit for PTSD sufferers.  
13